Human Rights Law Journal · HRLJ

HRLJ	29 December 2017 V	ol. 37 No. 7-12
ISSN 0174/4704		Pages 237-480
1. ARTICLES	Kate Fox Principi, Geneva Internal Mechanisms to Implement U.N. Human Rights Decisions, notably U.N. Human Rights Committee / How Can these Mechanisms Assist States to their Good Faith Obligations?	Fulfil
2. DECISIONS a	nd REPORTS	
- 26.V.17 -	African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights (AfCourtHPR), Arusha - Eviction of the Ogiek population from the Mau Forest area / Discrimination of grounds of ethnic origin / Violation of the right to occupy ancestral lands and to ex- religious and cultural practices / Unlawful deprivation of traditional food resor- Denial of the right to development / African Commission on Human and Peoples' v. Kenya	xercise urces / <i>Rights</i>
- 28.IX.17 -	- Interpretation of parts of the operative provisions of a judgment establish violation of the right to fair trial regarding an applicant still imprisoned / Mear the State's obligation to take "all necessary measures" to remedy the violations f <i>Alex Thomas v. Tanzania</i>	hing a ning of ound /
- 3.VI. / 5.IX.16 -	- Ruling on the effects of Rwanda's withdrawal declaration regarding the comp of the Court / Lack of a denunciation clause in the African Charter / Time of 12 months deemed appropriate (as foreseen under the American Conve unlike the six months' clause under the European Convention) / <i>Ingabire V</i> <i>Umuhoza v. Rwanda</i> .	etence frame ention, <i>lictoire</i>
– 11.VII.17 –	European Court of Human Rights (EurCourtHR), Strasbourg - Applicant – in respect of whom the EurCourtHR delivered a judgment in 2011 f a violation of the Convention in a criminal case – complained that the Supreme in 2012 unlawfully dismissed her application for a review of the 2007 Court of A judgment / Safeguards of Article 6 § 1 applicable to reopening proceedings No violation of fair trial guarantee / Moreira Ferreira v. Portugal (No. 2) (GC)	Court Appeal / here:
- 12.X.17 -	- Strike-out and transmission to the Committee of Ministers of 12,148 <i>Ivanov</i> -type (<i>Ivanov v. Ukraine</i> , pilot judgment of 2009) / Background: Non-enforcement delayed enforcement of domestic court decisions related to non-payment of s and allowances to military servicemen, employees of the mining companies, j school teachers, and others, including those suffering from the Chernobyl disaster entitled to benefits under the Chernobyl Victims' Status Act / Dramatic factual situ 120,000 non-executed judgment holders in Ukraine / <i>Burmych et al. v. Ukraine</i> (C	ent or alaries judges, being uation:
– 16.XI.17-	- Serious shortcomings in criminal proceedings against prominent opposition policonvicted of mass disorder following protests in the town of Ismayilli in Those events already led to the applicant's arrest and pre-trial detention (subject first <i>Mammadov</i> judgment of 22 May 2014, now referred back to the Court, see at p. 476 ff.) / In the second case the criminal proceedings, taken as a whole, vi Article 6 § 1 of the Convention / <i>Ilgar Mammadov v. Azerbaijan (No. 2)</i>	itician, 2013 / of the below olated
– 7.III.17 –	- State directly responsible for mistreatment of a four year old boy by his public n school teachers / Violation of Article 3 (inhuman and degrading treatment), a account of the failure to carry out an effective criminal investigation / <i>V.K. v. Rus</i>	ursery lso on

(Contents continued on last page)

480

Table of contents (continued)

– 22.VIII.17 –	Supreme Court of India, New DelhiUnilateral declaration of divorce (talaq) practised since time immemorial (some1,400 years) by Sunni Muslims belonging to the Hanafi school / Three simultaneouspronouncements like "talaq, talaq, talaq", uttered by the husband, so-called practiceof "talaq-e-biddat" – triple talaq, declared unconstitutional (3:2 majority) / Shayara Banov. Union of India et al.366
– 18.X.17 –	UK Supreme Court, London Scope of State immunity / Relatively recent consensus in favour of the restrictive doctrine / Employment of embassy staff / Relevant provisions of the State Immunity Act 1978 which extend State immunity to all members of a diplomatic mission violate Article 6 ECHR (access to court) / Benkharbouche v. Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs et al. 458
3. DOCUMENTA	TION
– 13.VII.17 –	European Committee for the Prevention of Torture and Inhuman or DegradingTreatment or Punishment (CPT), StrasbourgPublic statement concerning Belgium474
	 Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe, Strasbourg Judgment of 22 May 2014 by the EurCourtHR in <i>Ilgar Mammadov v. Azerbaijan /</i> Interim Resolutions on execution of that judgment:
- 25.X.17 -	• Serving of a formal notice to Azerbaijan regarding non-execution of the <i>Ilgar</i> <i>Mammadov</i> judgment
– 5.XII.17 –	• Azerbaijan's refusal to abide by the <i>Ilgar Mammadov</i> judgment leads to the launch of unprecedented legal proceedings (application of Article 46 § 4 ECHR, as amended by Protocol No. 14): Referral of the case back to the Court / So-called infringement proceedings
– Nov. 2017 –	German Federal Constitutional Court, KarlsruheCode of Conduct for the Justices of the Federal Constitutional Court
4. PENDING PR	DCEEDINGS
– 22.VI.17 –	European Court of Human Rights (EurCourtHR), Strasbourg Prisoner's complaints invoking eye problems disregarded over 8 years despite corresponding ophthalmologist's diagnosis / Refusal to exempt from court fees prevents

civil action / Application communicated / Andrzej Michalski v. Poland